

Parking Technical Advisory Group: Residential Parking Program Community Discussion

September 18th, 2014 Notes

SHEET 1 (Overview):

Residential Parking Agenda

Goal: Gain a better understanding of how parkers view the existing program and get feedback for future improvements.

4:30 - Welcome

What works, What doesn't Residential Parking Toolbox

6:30 - Thank you

Next:

PTAG Review: 10/02 at 4:10 at St Helens, Rm 16 http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/residentialparkingprogram Jan/Feb Recs to City Manager

SHEET 2-4 (Judi's Group, purple):

Multicare (& Group Health) Area:

- 1. Employees not utilizing parking options
- 2. Regulation issues
- 3. Resident/ neighbor to Multicare
 - a. Positive: Patrolled
 - b. Alley speeding
- 4. Enforcement with current RPP is broken
- 5. Residential Permit Process
 - a. Labor intensive to go through
 - b. Consistency lacking
 - c. No tracking of disappearing permits
 - d. Multiple unit dwellings are disadvantaged
- 6. No daytime parking, evening parking is plentiful
- 7. Problem with Multicare employees parking in residential
- 8. Consistent enforcement is important
- 9. Signage is effective

Annie Wright Area:

- 1. Security is positive
- 2. Staff impedes on residents while residential parking zones sit empty
- 3. Parking permits issued to dwellings with garages or other parking options
- 4. School/Resident balance needed

6th Ave Area:

- 1. Plenty of daytime parking
- 2. Night time parking:
 - a. behavior poor
 - b. not enough spaces
 - c. employee problem
 - d. Also at Parkway (N. 4th & I) and Hanks (N. 6th & K)
- 3. 6th Ave parking study looked at parking only, not behavior

General Thoughts:

- 1. 24/7 permit requirement is a problem w/ flexibility in some areas
- 2. Permits for different uses/desires v. needs
- 3. Light rail:
 - a. Construction mitigation needed
 - b. Changing of behavior
- 4. Abandoned vehicles are a parking problem

SHEET 5-8 (Steph's group, blue):

Schools:

- 1. Parents of St Pat's students (N. 12th & G) blocking driveways & access for residents. No sidewalks, dangerous
- 2. St Pats teachers park on street, don't use parking available to them at St Pats church.
- 3. Annie Wright student parking is a problem
- 4. Stadium HS does not have enough student/short-term visitor parking
- 5. North Tacoma to 1st to Stadium Way has bike lane, making the herringbone parking dangerous
- 6. UPS students parking in front of residences is a problem

East Side:

- 1. Petition no parking above Dome
- 2. Drinking/drugs (by Stanley & Seaforts)
- 3. Lack of enforcement
- 4. Parking permits?

General Thoughts:

- 1. Won't pay for parking. Need more free parking lots
- 2. Urban garages needed
- 3. ADA in neighborhoods needed
- 4. Multiple vehicles for one household- clogs up street, 50 feet of frontage with three vehicles
- 5. Residential parking permits have worked, for apartments by Wright Park

- 6. Commercial vehicles, RV's, & boats left on narrow residential streets for months at a time is a safety issue.
- 7. Commercial businesses run from homes with customers using residential parking is a problem
- 8. Proctor shouldn't be densified- no parking available

SHEETS 11-13 (Eric's group, black):

New Development:

- 1. Code issues with new development not requiring enough parking
- 2. Construction impacting supply and traffic control without mitigation provided for neighbors
- 3. Impacts of Proctor project will have spill over into neighborhoods, need residential parking options
- 4. City not listening to citizens- better planning for parking in development needed
- 5. Not enough parking required for new development
- 6. Parking requirements should take into account transportation infrastructure (if there are no busses, need to provide parking)
- 7. Interested in residential permit to protect against impacts of upcoming development rather than after the fact
- 8. Existing transportation infrastructure will not fulfill walkable goals of new development

Commercial Districts:

- 1. UWT area parking works
- 2. Paid parking in commercial districts may be good
- 3. Need some way to mitigate impacts to small business owners
- 4. Business owners taking up parking in front of residential houses

General Thoughts:

- 1. Above Washington State History Museum bus loading starts at 0600 but no busses- change hours
- 2. Permit parking works, 2 pass limit per household does not work
- 3. Administration of passes should be handled more carefully
- 4. Issues with event parking in neighborhoods (e.g. HS football games @ SHS)
- 5. UPS in position to assist in mitigation of parking impacts

SHEETS 14-20 (Parking Toolbox – top 10 bolded):

- 1. Community-Driven Program (votes)
 - a. Initiated by community (9)
 - b. Pre-established levels of parking density & frequency (5)
 - c. Public input into zone boundaries & controls (7)
 - d. Residential agreement to final boundaries and controls (13)
 - e. Resident status for permit (12)
- 2. Row use optimization with resident priority
 - a. Control for high occupancy periods (9)
 - b. Permits exempted from posted parking restrictions (4)
 - c. Accommodations for guests and service vehicles (6)
 - d. Incentives to moderate number of permits (8)

- 3. Supports & discourages abuse
 - a. Clear signs (12)
 - b. Non-transferable permits (10)
 - c. Regular permit renewal (9)
 - d. Visible/ regular enforcement (14)
- 4. Program Sustainability
 - a. Easy application/ renewal process (6)
 - b. Zones expandable with resident agreement (1)
 - c. Periodic re-assessment (3)
 - d. Balance between service and cost (6)
- 5. Other
 - a. Do them all! (17)
 - b. Recognize needs of employers & service providers (9)